PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>CISCO Webex Meeting Code : 15857-23975 Helpline No. 0172-2864100 (10:00 AM to 04.00 PM on working days)



Shri Narinder Singh, S/o Shri Malkiat Singh, R/o Chotta Buda Theh, (Adarsh Nagar Beas), Tehsil Baba Bakala Sahib, Distt. Amritsar.

..... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o BDPO, Block Rayya, Tehsil Baba Bakala Sahib, District Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority, O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer (DDPO), Amritsar.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4890 of 2021

Present:- (i) Shri Narinder Singh, Appellant, in person. (ii) Shri Raj Kumar, Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat, Beas, Tehsil on behalf of the PIO/Respondent, in person.

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 29.06.2022 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.

2. The case was scheduled to be heard today. Shri Narinder Singh, appellant comes present to attend the hearing in person before the Bench. He states that he has not received the information yet.

3. Shri Raj Kumar, Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat, Beas, Block Rayya, District Amritsar comes present to attend the hearing in person before the Bench. He states that he has brought along the information as sought by the appellant vide his RTI application for handing over to him.

4. Since the information brought along by the representative of PIO is voluminous, the Bench directs Shri Raj Kumar, Panchayat Secretary to sit in the adjacent court room along with the appellant and explain to him point-wise information brought along by him and hand over the same to the appellant and then report back to the Bench. Cont...P-2

5. After the meeting, Shri Raj Kumar and Shri Narinder Singh, appellant appear before the Bench. The appellant expresses his satisfaction over the information supplied and gives in writing to this effect.

In view of the above, no further cause of action is left in the matter. The instant appeal
 case is disposed off and closed. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 30.08.2022

Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Copy to (By Regd. Post)

PIO/ Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat, Beas, Block Rayya, District Amritsar

Shri Sukhdev Singh, S/o Late Shri Lal Singh #5077, Urban Estate, 26.76 Acre Scheme, Phase-1, Patiala. AT BURN WITHIN USE

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o Patiala Development Authority, Patiala.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Chief Administrator, Patiala Development Authority, Patiala.

....Respondents

AC No. 1277 of 2022

Present:(i)Shri Sukhdev Singh, Appellant, in person.(ii)Mrs. Ravinder Kaur, Assistant Estate Officer/PIO and Mrs. Sheenu Jindal,
Clerk, Shri Pawan Kumar, Superintendent, O/o Patiala Development
Authority, Patiala, in person.

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 29.06.2022 passed by this

Bench on the previous hearing.

2. The case has been heard today. Shri Sukhdev Singh, appellant comes present to attend the hearing in person before the Bench.

3. Mrs. Ravinder Kaur, AEO/PIO, Sheenu Jindal, Clerk and Shri Pawan Kumar, Superintendent O/o Patiala Development Authority, Patiala come present in person before the Bench to attend the hearing. Mrs. Sheenu Jindal states that letter dated 29.08.2022 has been brought along and produces a copy of the same before the Bench and also hands over a copy of the same to the appellant. She states that copy of letter No.8873 dated 06.07.2018 as at Point No.1 of RTI application has not been traced in the office and thus, cannot be supplied. Regarding point No.2, the information regarding letter No.7320 dated 27.07.2020 has been provided vide letter dated 24.06.2022.

AC No.1277/2022

4. On the asking of the Bench, the appellant states that partial information has been received and expresses dis-satisfaction over the information supplied and states that he has not been given the information as to whether any decision on the application regarding their grievance has been taken by the higher authorities i.e. Additional Chief Administrator or Chief Administrator of P.D.A. Patiala.

5. Upon this, the PIO clarifies that the letter dated 06.07.2018 was marked by the Estate Officer to the Divisional Engineer (Civil). Since the issue raised by the appellant related to the Estate Officer, as such, it was not put up to the A.C.A. or C.A. Patiala Development Authority.

6. Post deliberations, the Bench directs the PIO/Assistant Estate Officer, P.D.A. Patiala to file an affidavit on non judicial stamp paper, within 21 (Twenty one) days, duly signed by the PIO and attested by the Notary Public to the effect that the information as available in the official records in AC No.1277 of 2022 has been supplied to the appellant. It be further stated that the letter No.7320 dated 27.07.2020 was marked by the Estate Officer to the Divisional Engineer, Civil for taking action and no other details are available and the matter is still under consideration. It be further stated that letter No.8873 dated 06.07.2018 could not be traced in the official records. It be further stated that nothing has been concealed therein and the information supplied is true and correct. Original affidavit be sent to the appellant and copy of the same be sent to the Commission for record.

7. With the aforesaid directions, **the appeal case is disposed off and closed.** Copies of the orders be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 30.08.2022

Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma, (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Shri Sukhdev Singh, S/o Late Shri Lal Singh #5077, Urban Estate, 26.76 Acre Scheme, Phase-1, Patiala. PSiC Used

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o Patiala Development Authority, Patiala.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Chief Administrator, Patiala Development Authority, Patiala.

....Respondents

AC No. 1276 of 2022

Present: (i) Shri Sukhdev Singh, Appellant, in person.

(ii) Mrs. Ravinder Kaur, Assistant Estate Officer/PIO and Mrs. Sheenu Jindal, Clerk, Shri Pawan Kumar, Superintendent, O/o Patiala Development Authority, Patiala, in person.

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 29.06.2022 passed by this

Bench on the previous hearing.

2. The case has been heard today. Shri Sukhdev Singh, appellant comes present to attend the hearing in person before the Bench.

3. Mrs. Ravinder Kaur, AEO/PIO, Sheenu Jindal, Clerk and Shri Pawan Kumar, Superintendent O/o Patiala Development Authority, Patiala come present in person before the Bench to attend the hearing. Mrs. Sheenu Jindal states that letter dated 29.08.2022 has been brought along and produces a copy of the same before the Bench and also hands over a copy of the same to the appellant. She states that as regards Point No.1, letter No.2350 and 2348 are same. Regarding point No.2, the copy of letter No.196 dated 27.07.2020 is not available in the official records as intimated by O/o Divisional Engineer (Civil) and regarding letter No.197 dated 27.07.2020, the action taken report on it, letter bearing Diary No.586 dated 20.08.2020 has already been supplied to the appellant.

Cont..P-2

AC No.1276/2022

4. On the asking of the Bench, the appellant states that partial information has been received and expresses dis-satisfaction over the information supplied and states that he has not been given the information as to whether any decision on the application regarding their grievance has been taken by the higher authorities i.e. Additional Chief Administrator or Chief Administrator of P.D.A. Patiala.

5. Upon this, the PIO clarifies that the appellant was allowed inspection of the relevant records and the information has been supplied to the appellant as per records.

6. Post deliberations, the Bench directs the PIO/Assistant Estate Officer, P.D.A. Patiala to file an affidavit on non judicial stamp paper, within 21 (Twenty one) days, duly signed by the PIO and attested by the Notary Public to the effect that the information as available in the official records in AC No.1276 of 2022 has been supplied to the appellant. It be further stated that the letter No.2350 and 2348 are the same and copy of letter No.196 dated 27.07.2020 is not available in the official records and further that copy of letter No.197 dated 27.07.2020 has been supplied vide letter dated 23.06.2022 and no other details are available. It be further stated that nothing has been concealed therein and the information supplied is true and correct. Original affidavit be sent to the appellant and copy of the same be sent to the Commission for record.

7. With the aforesaid directions, **the appeal case is disposed off and closed.** Copies of the orders be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 30.08.2022

Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma, (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Shri Nathu Ram Sihag S/o Shri Mahavir Sihag R/o Village Taza Patti, Tehsil Abohar, Distt. Fazilka.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer Superintendent of Police, Vigilance and Security O/o DGP (V&S), PSPCL, Patiala..

First Appellate Authority-cum-DGP (V&S), PSPCL, Patiala.

....Respondents

AC No. 1369 of 2022

Present: (i) Appellant- absent.

(ii) Shri Gopal Krishan Virdi, Senior Assistant, O/o DSP (V&S), PSPCL, Patiala, on behalf of the PIO/respondent, in person.

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 06.07.2022 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.

2. The case has been heard today. Shri Nathu Ram Sihag, appellant has not come present to attend the hearing in person before the Bench or through cisco webex. He has, however, sent letter dated 25.08.2022 admitting receipt of affidavit dated 17.08.2022. He has, however, expressed his dissatisfaction over the information supplied stating that he has not been supplied information relating to FIR no.123 of 2016 and 598 of 2016.

3. Shri Gopal Krishan Virdi, Senior Assistant O/o DSP (V&S) PSPCL, Patiala comes present to attend the hearing in person before the Bench. He states that vide letter dated 10.08.2022, point-wise information has been supplied to the appellant and an affidavit in original dated 17.08.2022 has also been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 17.08.2022.

Cont..P-2

AC No.1369/2022

4. Since the appellant has expressed dis-satisfaction over the contents of affidavit, he is made to talk to the representative of PIO, who explains to the appellant the details of information supplied as also regarding the contention raised by the appellant vide his letter dated 25.08.2022.

5. On the asking of the Bench, the representative of PIO states that the information as sought vide RTI Application and as available in the official records has been supplied to the appellant.

6. The Bench, on perusal of the information supplied as also going through the contents of the affidavit, is convinced that the adequate information stands supplied to the appellant.

7. In view of the above, no further intervention of the Bench is called for. **The instant** appeal case is disposed off and closed. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 30.08.2022 Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Shri Anurag Sharma R/o Daeshmesh Nagar, Street No.3, Sri Muktsar Sahib.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Deputy Commissioner, Sri Muktsar Sahib.

First Appellate Authority-cum-

O/o Deputy Commissioner, Sri Muktsar Sahib.

....Respondents

AC No. 1346 of 2022

Present:

Appellant- absent.

(ii) Shri Vishal Kumar, Junior Assistant O/o D.C. Sri Muktsar Sahib-cisco webex.

(iii) Shri Gurtej Singh, Clerk O/o Municipal Council, Sri Muktsar Sahib, in person.

<u>ORDER</u>

(Heard through cisco webex/in person)

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 06.07.2022 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.

2. The case has been heard today. Shri Anurag Sharma, appellant has not come present to attend the hearing in person before the Bench or through cisco webex.

3. Shri Vishal Kumar, Junior Assistant O/o D.C. Sri Muktsar Sahib comes present to attend the hearing through cisco webex. He refers to letter dated 25.08.2022 of APIO O/o D.C. Sri Muktsar Sahib stating that the RTI application has been transferred vide letter dated 18.09.2021 to E.O., Municipal Council, Sri Muktsar Sahib, District Manager, Markfed and Secreary, Red Cross Society, Sri Muktsar Sahib.

4. Shri Gurtej Singh, Clerk O/o Municipal Council, Sri Muktsar Sahib attends the hearing in person before the Bench. He produces authority letter before the Bench as also letter dated 29.08.2022 and states that the information has already been sent to the appellant by registered post on 07.02.2022.

Cont..P-2

<u>AC No.1346/2022</u>

5. The Bench also observes that the representative of D.M. Markfed had apprised during the previous hearing for having supplied information to the appellant vide letter dated 25.09.2021 and again vide letter dated 20.04.2022 by registered post. The perusal of the case file also shows that the information has also been supplied by District Red Cross Society, Sri Muktsar Sahib vide letter dated 27.04.2022.

-2-

6. The appellant is absent today. He is contacted on mobile phone. On the asking of the Bench, he confirms receipt of information to his satisfaction and also gives his consent to close the case.

7. In view of the above, no further cause of action is left in the matter. As such, the instant

appeal case is disposed off and closed.. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Chandigarh 30.08.2022

Copy to:

- i) PIO O/o District Manager, Markfed, Sri Muktsar Sahib
- ii) PIO O/o District Red Cross Society, Sri Muktsar Sahib
- iii) PIO O/o Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Sri Muktsar Sahib.

Shri Gaurav Kumar S/o Shri Ashok Kumar H.No.100/7, Jawahar Nagar, Ludhiana.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Greater Ludhiana Area Development Authority (GLADA), Ludhiana..

First Appellate Authority-cum-,

O/o Chief Administrator, Greater Ludhiana Area Development Authority (GLADA), Ludhiana..

...Respondents

AC No.892/2022

Present:	(i)	Shri Gaurav Kumar, appellant.
	(ii)	None on behalf of the PIO, GLADA, Ludhiana.

<u>ORDER</u>

(Heard through Cisco Webex)

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 25.05.5022 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.

2. The case has been heard today. Shri Gaurav Kumar, appellant comes present to attend the hearing through cisco webex and states that he has been supplied information vide letter dated 25.05.2022, but the same is irrelevant and unsatisfactory. He further states that deficiencies were pointed out to the PIO but no information after removing the deficiencies has been supplied.

3. Neither the PIO O/o Chief Administrator, GLADA, Ludhiana has come present to attend the hearing in person or through cisco webex nor he has deputed any of his representatives to attend the hearing on behalf of the PIO. However, letter dated 30.08.2022 by e.mail has been received from the APIO-cum-Assistant Estate Officer, GLADA that due to visit of Housing and Urban Development Minister to Ludhiana, the PIO may not be able to attend the hearing today and has requested for another date.

Cont..P-2

AC No.892/2022

4. The Bench observes that the PIO has absented himself for the hearing for the second consecutive time. This indifferent attitude of the PIO is viewed adversely. The PIO O/o GLADA, Ludhiana is issued show cause notice to explain his absence and as to why action should not be taken against him and also as to why penalty should not be imposed in the instant case for failing to appear for the hearing and not supplying complete information to the appellant. He is also directed to file a written reply to the show cause notice as to why the appellant should not be compensated for the mental harassment suffered by him in getting the information.

5. In addition to the written reply, the PIO is hereby given an opportunity u/s 20(1) proviso thereto for personal hearing before the imposition of such a penalty, on the next date of hearing. He may take note that in case he does not file the written reply and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the next date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him ex-parte.

6. The PIO is directed to supply point-wise information to the appellant, after removing the deficiencies, before the next hearing as also ensure to come present in person before the Bench on the next hearing, failing which, penal consequences shall follow.

7. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. To come up for hearing on 04.10.2022 at 11.30 AM in Commission's office at Chandigarh. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 30.08.2022 Sd/-((Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma,(Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Shri Rattan Ram S/o Shri Kul Chand, R/o Vill. Arnetu, PO Sadharanpur, Tehsil Patran, District Patiala.

Versus

.....Appellant

Public Information Officer O/o Punjab State Power Corporation Limited (PSPCL),Badsahpur Mandi, Tehsil Patran, Distt. Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Punjab State Power Corporation Limited (PSPCL),Badsahpur Mandi, Tehsil Patran, Distt. Patiala.

...Respondents

AC No.941/2022

Present:

 (i) Appellant-absent
 (ii) Shri Gurmukh Singh,LDC and Shri Tarsem Chand, A.R.A., Sub Division, PSPCL, Badshahpur Mandi,Tehsil Patran, District Patiala, on behalf of the PIO/Respondent, in person.

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 06.07.2022 passed by this

Bench during the previous hearing.

2. The case has been heard today. Shri Rattan Ram, appellant has not come present to attend the hearing in person before the Bench or through cisco webex.

3. Shri Gurmukh Singh,LDC and Shri Tarsem Chand, A.R.A., Sub Division, PSPCL, Badshahpur Mandi,Tehsil Patran, District Patiala, come present in person before the Bench to attend the hearing on behalf of the PIO. They produce before the Bench the information duly attested for handing over to the appellant. Shri Gurmukh Singh states that the appellant was called to office on 24.05.2022 to deliver the information but he has not come to their office.

4. Since the appellant is absent for today's hearing, the Bench contacts the appellant on mobile phone. He does not respond to the repeated phone calls.

<u>AC No.941/2022</u>

5. The Bench examines the information produced before the Bench and observes that information is in order. The representative of PIO is directed to send a copy of the same to the appellant by registered post. In view of the submissions made by the representative of PIO vide letter dated 30.08.2022, the show cause notice issued to the PIO is dropped.

6. With the aforesaid directions, the instant appeal case is disposed off and closed.Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 30.08.2022 Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH. Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in



Ph: 01/2-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>, Cisco Webex Code:15857-23975 Helpline No.: 0172-2864100 (From 10.00 AM to 04.00 PM on working days)

Shri Gurdhian Singh, S/o Shri Labh Singh R/o VPO Bhanra, Tehsil & District Patiala.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Sub Divisional Officer, Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd. (PSPCL), Patiala.

First Appellate Authority O/o Dy. Chief Engineer, Operation, Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd. (PSPCL), Patiala

...Respondents

AC No.5436/2021

- Present: (i) Appellant- absent.
 - (ii) Shri Harpal Singh, SDO, Shri Mohit Kapur, Junior Engineer, Sub Division, Patiala Cantt., Patiala, Respondents- in person.

<u>ORDER</u>

(Heard in person/on mobile phone)

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 25.05.2022 passed by this

Bench on the previous hearing.

2. The case has been heard today. Shri Gurdhian Singh, appellant has not come present to attend the hearing in person before the Bench or through cisco webex.

3. Shri Harpal Singh, SDO, Shri Mohit Kapur, Junior Engineer, Sub Division, Patiala Cantt., on behalf of the PIO/SDO, Sub Division, Cantt. PSPCL, Patiala, come present in person before the Bench. Shri Harpal Singh states that the appellant was contacted on phone on 24.05.2022 reqeusting him to collect the information, but he showed his inability to come to his office and requested to send it by post. Accordingly, the same has been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 24.05.2022 by registered post.

Cont..P-2



AC No.5436/2021

4. To ascertain the receipt of information, the appellant is contacted on mobile phone. In spite of repeated attempts, he does not respond to the phone calls.

5. The Bench observes that reply to the show cause notice has not been filed by the PIO. Further, on the asking of the Bench, the PIO states that the information as available in the official records has been supplied to the appellant.

6. The PIO is now directed to file an affidavit on non-judicial stamp paper, within 21 (Twenty one) days, duly signed by the PIO and attested by the Notary Public to the effect that the information as available in the official records in AC No.5436/2021 has been supplied to the appellant. Besides this, the reply to the show cause notice be also given therein explaining the delay in supply of information as also reasons for absence during the previous hearings. It be further stated that nothing has been concealed therein and the statement made is true and correct. Original affidavit be sent to the appellant and copy of the same be sent to the Commission for record.

7. With the aforesaid directions, the instant appeal case is disposed off and closed.Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 30.08.2022 Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Shri Trilochan Singh S/o Late Shri Gurbachan Singh R/o 848-A, MIG Flats, Jamalpur Colony, Ludhiana.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o The Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Ferozepur.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Ferozepur.

....Respondents

AC No.2443 of 2020

Present: (i) Shri Tejinder Singh on behalf of the appellant- cisco webex. (ii) Shri Neeraj Kumar, Clerk O/o R.T.A. Ferozepur, on behalf of PIO.

<u>ORDER</u>

(Heard in person/ through Cisco Webex)

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 07.07.2022 passed by this

Bench on the previous hearing.

2. The case has been heard today in the Commission's office. Shri Tejinder Singh comes present to attend the hearing through cisco webex on behalf of the appellant.

3. Shri Neeraj Kumar, Clerk O/o RTA Ferozepur comes present to attend the hearing in person before the Bench. He states that the information has already been supplied. The amount of penalty imposed on the PIO has been deposited and reply to show cause notice has also been filed vide letter dated 09.08.2022 and that original affidavit has also been sent to the Hon'ble Commission along with the said letter.

4. The representative of appellant confirms the receipt of information. Besides this, the Bench also observes that the letter dated 09.08.2022 is available in the case file which confirms the version of the representative of the PIO.



AC No.2443 of 2020

5. Since the directions of the Bench stand complied with in its entirety, no further cause of action is left in the matter. **The instant appeal case is disposed off and closed.** Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties. The original affidavit be sent to the appellant and a copy be retained in the Commission for record.

Chandigarh 30.08.2022 Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Encl: Original Affidavit

Shri Vikas Chander Sharma, Advocate, Chamber No.10-A, District Courts, Opposite Gyani Tea Stall, Amritsar



.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o Municipal Town Planner, MC, Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority O/o Commissioner, MC, Amritsar.

....Respondents

Appeal Case No. 2312/2022

Present:(i)Appellant – absent.(ii)Shri Dheeraj Kumar, Building Inspector, O/o Municipal Town Planner, M.C.,
Amritsar, on behalf of the PIO/respondent, in person.

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 07.07.2022 passed by the

Bench on the previous hearing.

2. The case has been heard today. Shri Vikas Chander Sharma, appellant has not come present to attend the hearing either in person or through cisco webex.

3. Shri Dheeraj Kumar, Building Inspector comes present to attend the hearing in person before the Bench on behalf of the PIO and states that the information comprising 88 pages has been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 19.04.2022. He further states that reply to the show cause notice has also been filed.

4. As there is no response available from the appellant in the case file, to ascertain the position regarding receipt of information, the appellant is contacted on mobile phone. In spite of repeated phone calls made on his mobile phone, he does not respond.

AC No.2312/2022

5. The Bench now directs the PIO to file an affidavit on non judicial stamp paper, within 21 (Twenty one) days duly signed by the PIO and attested by the Notary Public to the effect that the information as available in the official records in AC no.2312/2022 has been supplied to the appellant. It be further stated that nothing has been concealed therein and the statement made is true and correct. The original affidavit be sent to the appellant and copy of the same be sent to the Commission for record.
6. With the aforesaid directions, the instant appeal case is disposed off and closed. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 30.08.2022 Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Shri Vikas Chander Sharma, Advocate, Chamber No.10-A, District Courts, Opposite Gyani Tea Stall, Amritsar



.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o Municipal Town Planner, MC, Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority O/o Commissioner, MC, Amritsar.

....Respondents

Appeal Case No. 2313/2022

Present:(i)Appellant – absent.(ii)Shri Dheeraj Kumar, Building Inspector, O/o Municipal Town Planner, M.C.,
Amritsar, on behalf of the PIO/respondent, in person.

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 07.07.2022 passed by the

Bench on the previous hearing.

2. The case has been heard today. Shri Vikas Chander Sharma, appellant has not come present to attend the hearing either in person or through cisco webex.

3. Shri Dheeraj Kumar, Building Inspector comes present to attend the hearing in person before the Bench on behalf of the PIO and states that the information regarding the RTI application of the appellant has been supplied vide letter dated 15.12.2021. He further states that reply to the show cause notice has also been filed.

4. As there is no response available from the appellant in the case file, to ascertain the position regarding receipt of information, the appellant is contacted on mobile phone. In spite of repeated phone calls made on his mobile phone, he does not respond.

AC No.2313/2022

5. The Bench now directs the PIO to file an affidavit on non judicial stamp paper, within 21 (Twenty one) days duly signed by the PIO and attested by the Notary Public to the effect that the information as available in the official records in AC no.2313/2022 has been supplied to the appellant. It be further stated that nothing has been concealed therein and the statement made is true and correct. The original affidavit be sent to the appellant and copy of the same be sent to the Commission for record.
6. With the aforesaid directions, the instant appeal case is disposed off and closed. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 30.08.2022 Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Shri Vikas Chander Sharma, Advocate, Chamber No.10-A, District Courts, Opposite Gyani Tea Stall, Amritsar



.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o Municipal Corporation, Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority O/o Commissioner,

Municipal Corporation, Amritsar.

....Respondents

Appeal Case No. 2314/2022

Present: (i) A

 (i) Appellant – absent.
 (ii) Shri Dheeraj Kumar, Building Inspector, O/o Municipal Town Planner, M.C., Amritsar, on behalf of the PIO/respondent, in person.

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 07.07.2022 passed by the

Bench on the previous hearing.

2. The case has been heard today. Shri Vikas Chander Sharma, appellant has not come present to attend the hearing either in person or through cisco webex.

3. Shri Dheeraj Kumar, Building Inspector comes present to attend the hearing in person before the Bench on behalf of the PIO and states that the information regarding the RTI application of the appellant has been supplied vide letter dated 19.04.2022 and 29.08.2022. He further states that reply to the show cause notice has also been filed.

4. As there is no response available from the appellant in the case file, to ascertain the position regarding receipt of information, the appellant is contacted on mobile phone. In spite of repeated phone calls made on his mobile phone, he does not respond.

AC No.2314/2022

5. The Bench now directs the PIO to file an affidavit on non judicial stamp paper, within 21 (Twenty one) days duly signed by the PIO and attested by the Notary Public to the effect that the information as available in the official records in AC no.2314/2022 has been supplied to the appellant. It be further stated that nothing has been concealed therein and the statement made is true and correct. The original affidavit be sent to the appellant and copy of the same be sent to the Commission for record.
6. With the aforesaid directions, the instant appeal case is disposed off and closed.

Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 30.08.2022

Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Shri Vikas Chander Sharma, Advocate, Chamber No.10-A, District Courts, Opposite Gyani Tea Stall, Amritsar



.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o Municipal Corporation, Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority O/o Commissioner,

Municipal Corporation, Amritsar.

....Respondents

Appeal Case No. 2315/2022

Present: (i) A

 (i) Appellant – absent.
 (ii) Shri Dheeraj Kumar, Building Inspector, O/o Municipal Town Planner, M.C., Amritsar, on behalf of the PIO/respondent, in person.

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 07.07.2022 passed by the

Bench on the previous hearing.

2. The case has been heard today. Shri Vikas Chander Sharma, appellant has not come present to attend the hearing either in person or through cisco webex.

3. Shri Dheeraj Kumar, Building Inspector comes present to attend the hearing in person before the Bench on behalf of the PIO and states that the information regarding the RTI application of the appellant has been supplied vide letter dated 15.05.2022 & 28.08.2022. He further states that reply to the show cause notice has also been filed.

4. As there is no response available from the appellant in the case file, to ascertain the position regarding receipt of information, the appellant is contacted on mobile phone. In spite of repeated phone calls made on his mobile phone, he does not respond.

AC No.2315/2022

5. The Bench now directs the PIO to file an affidavit on non judicial stamp paper, within 21 (Twenty one) days duly signed by the PIO and attested by the Notary Public to the effect that the information as available in the official records in AC no.2315/2022 has been supplied to the appellant. It be further stated that nothing has been concealed therein and the statement made is true and correct. The original affidavit be sent to the appellant and copy of the same be sent to the Commission for record.
6. With the aforesaid directions, the instant appeal case is disposed off and closed. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 30.08.2022

Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab